See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/338427306

An Algorithm for Estimating Resistance Magnitude of Plants Against Disease Establishment and Pathogen Virulence Levels

Article · December 2019

DOI: 10.3923/ppj.2020.16.21

 CITATIONS
 READS

 0
 75

 1 author:
 Pennis O. Omayio

 Masinde Muliro University of Science and Technology
 19 PUBLICATIONS 47 CITATIONS

 Sete PROFILE
 Sete PROFILE

DNA barcoding View project

LEGUMES RESEARCH View project

All content following this page was uploaded by Dennis O. Omayio on 07 January 2020.



Plant Pathology Journal

ISSN 1812-5387





∂ OPEN ACCESS

Plant Pathology Journal

ISSN 1812-5387 DOI: 10.3923/ppj.2020.16.21



Research Article An Algorithm for Estimating Resistance Magnitude of Plants Against Disease Establishment and Pathogen Virulence Levels

Dennis Ondieki Omayio

Department of Biological Sciences, Masinde Muliro University of Science and Technology, P.O. Box 190, 50100 Kakamega, Kenya

Abstract

Background and Objective: Host plant resistance approach is one of the highly sought techniques in mitigation of several plant diseases that cause huge losses. This is attributed to its ease of implementation and cost effectiveness especially in third world countries. However, the success of selecting stable resistant varieties is significantly affected by error prone qualitative approaches of screening, coupled with other techniques like computer imaging systems that are very expensive to acquire in resource poor countries. Therefore, this study sought to determine the quantitative levels of host plant resistance and pathogen virulence based on a series of combined models. **Materials and Methods:** A 7 step algorithm that integrates 3 plant parameters affected most by the disease, referred to as 'Omatec host plant resistance and pathogen virulence estimator algorithm was used. Post-experiment data of 3 napier grass varieties screened against napier stunt disease at International Centre for Insect Physiology and Ecology-Mbita, Kenya, was used to test the approach. **Results:** From the algorithmic outputs, Clone-13 variety (the susceptible check) had host plant resistance levels of 34.06% and was classified as having low resistance or susceptible. Ouma-2 and South Africa varieties used as the resistant checks had host plant resistance levels of 60.91 and 63.66%, respectively with a classification of high resistance or resistant. The pathogen virulence levels on Clone-13 variety was estimated at 65.94%, whereas, in Ouma-2 and South Africa varieties the impacted pathogen virulence levels were 39.09 and 36.34%, respectively. **Conclusion:** The algorithm outputs of resistance and pathogen virulence levels were consistent with the reported qualitative description of the varieties' responses amidst infection by napier stunt disease. The algorithm exhibited potential in complementing existing qualitative approaches of screening for resistance in a relatively cheap, reliable and accurate manner.

Key words: Host plant resistance, virulence, estimation, quantitative, napier stunt disease

Citation: Dennis Ondieki Omayio, 2020. An algorithm for estimating resistance magnitude of plants against disease establishment and pathogen virulence levels. Plant Pathol. J., 19: 16-21.

Corresponding Author: Dennis Ondieki Omayio, Department of Biological Sciences, Masinde Muliro University of Science and Technology, P.O. Box 190, 50100 Kakamega, Kenya Tel: +254719270730

Copyright: © 2020 Dennis Ondieki Omayio. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the creative commons attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Competing Interest: The author has declared that no competing interest exists.

Data Availability: All relevant data are within the paper and its supporting information files.

INTRODUCTION

Natural resistance of plants against diseases is one of the most effective, cheap, easily and highly adapted technique for management of serious diseases of plants currently¹⁻⁴. The technique relies on inherent resistance trait in some host plants to merit its use as a tool in the control of plant pathogens that have continued to cause huge economic losses due to low crop yields^{1,2,5}. The trait varies in magnitude in different varieties in a continuum manner ranging from highly susceptible lower end to highly resistant at the higher end, due to genome differences brought about by agents of evolution⁶. It is classified into two forms namely; quantitative and qualitative resistance^{1,7}. The qualitative resistance is encoded by a few or single gene and it is expressed by plants against a certain specific strain of a pathogen. The quantitative resistance is expressed by several multiple genes that are generally involved in the general growth of the plant. Moreover, it is expressed by plants against all strains of a particular pathogen though influenced by certain environmental factors⁶.

Efforts to select resistant varieties to mitigate this situation of crop losses due to plant diseases are ongoing across the world⁸. However, many of the techniques used in screening are prone to errors due to their qualitative nature⁹. Further, modern techniques like computer imaging are expensive and unavailable for many researchers especially in the third world countries to use in screening quantitatively for resistance^{9,10}. In addition, some plant diseases express limited symptoms in initial stages of infection to merit a good qualitative estimation of resistance^{1,11,12}. This is a challenge towards successful mitigation of these plant diseases and management of the co-evolution of causative pathogens due to survival pressure resulting from use of highly resistant varieties only^{13,14}. Therefore, this study sought to develop an algorithm that can quantitatively estimate the levels of host plant resistance and pathogen virulence levels in a plant under evaluation in a cheap, accurate, consistent and objective manner.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study period: This study was conducted between April, 2018 to March, 2019 at International Centre of Insect Physiology and Ecology (ICIPE) -Mbita and Masinde Muliro University of Science and Technology-Kakamega, Kenya.

Study approach: The study involved a designed 7 step algorithm which is referred to as Omatec host plant resistance

and pathogen virulence estimator algorithm, which was used to estimate the virulence and host plant resistance levels of selected varieties of napier grass. The post-experiment data used in this study was from 3 selected varieties known as; Clone-13, South Africa and Ouma-2. These varieties were being used as test crops against a disease known as napier stunt disease at International Centre for Insect Physiology and Ecology at Mbita in Kenya. Clone-13 variety's data was purposively used as a positive control since it's susceptible to the disease, whereas, the other two varieties data was used due to their reported levels of resistance to the disease⁸. The disease is caused by a phytoplasma '*Candidatus* Phytoplasma oryzae' strain Mbita 1 transmitted by *Maiestas banda*^{4,8,11,15}.

Step 1

Measurement or selection of the plants' data to be evaluated by the algorithm: This step involved the identification of the data that was to be subjected in subsequent steps of analysis towards estimation of the virulence and host plant resistance levels of selected varieties. Three parameters captured on Table 4, were identified that are significantly affected by the napier stunt disease namely, plant height, chlorophyll levels and biomass levels^{4,8}. The data was from an experiment that was hosted at the International Centre for Insect Physiology and Ecology in Mbita Kenya (ICIPE-Mbita) and was used to simulate how the algorithm works in subsequent steps 2-6.

Step 2

Determination of the magnitude of relative logarithmic index of divergence of the inoculated treatments (MRIDIT) from their respective controls (non-inoculated): This entailed the determination of magnitude in percentage of the relative logarithmic index of divergence of the inoculated treatment (MRIDIT) from the non-inoculated treatments using a modified Eq. 1, that integrates the 3 most affected parameters by the disease as described by Parry¹. The use of natural logarithm (LN) in the model determining the MRIDIT value was to enable estimation of the logarithmic efficacy indices which are good estimators of efficiency in performance in such scenarios of plant analysis^{1,16,17}. Secondly, logarithms can effectively be used in integrating different parameters regardless of their different units of measurement to obtain a holistic index that represents performance^{2,12,18}:

MRIDIT (%) =
$$\left(\frac{\left(LN(PHi \times CHi \times BMi) - LN(PHc \times CHc \times BMc)\right)}{LN(PHc \times CHc \times BMc)}\right) \times 100$$
 (1)

where, MRIDIT was the magnitude of relative logarithmic index of divergence of inoculated treatments from their respective controls. Estimating the damage inflicted on the variety by the pathogen. The LN was the natural logarithm of the variables PHi, CHi and BMi that represented the mean plant height, chlorophyll mean levels and biomass mean levels of the individual variety's inoculated treatments. Whereas, the variables PHc, CHc and BMc represented the mean plant height, chlorophyll mean levels and biomass mean levels of the individual variety's non-inoculated treatments (control).

Step 3

Estimation of respective varieties integrated parameter damage levels (IPDL) impacted through pathogen infection:

This step entailed estimation of damage levels in percentage based on the integrated parameters by taking in to consideration the MRIDIT value calculated in step two of the algorithm. Since, it measures the levels of divergence of the infected materials from their controls. The expected output was a negative value to demonstrate decline in performance due to infection^{1,12}. Therefore, to remove the negative value from the value towards determination of integrated parameter damage levels; it meant multiplying by negative one as demonstrated in Eq. 2:

Integrated parameter damage levels (IPDL) =
$$(-1 \times MRIDIT)$$
 (2)

where, MRIDIT was the magnitude of relative logarithmic index of divergence of inoculated treatments as determined in step two above.

Step 4

Estimation of the integrated parameter growth levels (IPGL) based on individual variety's integrated parameters: The determination of integrated parameters growth levels (IPGL) of a variety was done to estimate the performance of an individual variety based on the integrated 3 parameters divergence from their respective controls (Plant height, chlorophyll levels and biomass levels). It meant subtracting the estimated damage levels percentage from 100% as illustrated in Eq. 3:

where, IPGL is the integrated parameters growth levels. The estimated damage levels percentage value in the formula was determined from step 3.

Step 5

Estimation of each variety's relative performance levels of different parameters measured (RPL) after infection: This entailed the determination of the respective parameters relative performance potential of the individual variety's under infection, relative to the sum of their performance under infection by the disease and no-infection scenario. A concept that has been utilized effectively in evaluation of systems wellness especially ecosystems¹⁹. This was based on the premise that relative performance is a good measure of systems efforts in regeneration amidst varying environmental variables²⁰. Therefore, by exploiting the same approach the infected varieties performance could be established quantitatively as illustrated in the Eq. 4:

$$\operatorname{RPL} = \frac{\left(\left(\left(\frac{\mathrm{PHi}}{\mathrm{PHi} + \mathrm{PHc}}\right) \times 100\right) + \left(\left(\frac{\mathrm{CHi}}{\mathrm{CHi} + \mathrm{CHc}}\right) \times 100\right) + \left(\left(\frac{\mathrm{BMi}}{\mathrm{BMi} + \mathrm{BMc}}\right) \times 100\right)\right)}{3}$$
(4)

where, RPL was the relative performance levels as a percentage of infected variety under study. The division by 3 was to obtain the mean percentage of the three different parameters measured. Whereas, the variables PHi, CHi and BMi represented the plant height levels, chlorophyll levels and biomass levels of an individual variety's inoculated/infected treatments. Whereas, the variables PHc, CHc and BMc represented the plant heights levels, chlorophyll levels and biomass levels of the individual variety's non-inoculated/non-infected treatments (control).

Step 6

Estimation of each variety's host plant resistance levels (HPRL) against the pathogen and virulence levels of the pathogen (PVL) impacted on the variety: This was the final step that involved the determination of the host plant resistance of a variety by simple averaging of 2 out puts as illustrated below in Eq. 5 and consequently virulence estimation by subtracting the host plant resistance levels from 100% using Eq. 6:

Host plant resistance levels (HPRL) =
$$\frac{(IPGL + RPL)}{2}$$
 (5)

Pathogen Virulence levels (PVL) = 100-Host plant resistance levels (6)

where, HPRL was the host plant resistance levels as a percentage. The division by 2 was to obtain the mean percentage of the 2 percentage values determined in steps 4 and 5 above of the algorithm.

Step 7

Interpretation keys for the estimated variety's host plant resistance levels (HPRL) against the pathogen: This step involved the generation of an interpretation key to aid in the interpretation of the final outputs from the algorithm. The key was modified for napier as described by Obura²¹ and Kawube *et al.*²².

Statistical analysis: The data generated was interpreted directly since the outputs were statistically descriptive percentages, where, they quantified the levels of host plant resistance of the evaluated varieties and pathogen virulence magnitude manifested by the germplasms against the pathogen's establishment.

RESULTS

The analysis of the results based on the algorithm, Clone-13 had the lowest levels of host plant resistance against the pathogen at 34.06%, followed by the Ouma-2 variety at 60.91%. South Africa variety had the highest levels of host plant resistance at 63.66% as demonstrated in Table 1. Basing on the interpretation key illustrated in Table 2 Clone-13 variety host plant resistance levels classification was described as having low resistance, since the value 34.06% lay between the range 25% to 49% as illustrated in Table 2. Whereas, Ouma-2 and South Africa varieties classification was high resistance based on their values falling within the range of 50-74% (Table 2).

Based on the data used the pathogen '*Candidatus* Phytoplasma oryzae' strain Mbita-1 damaged more Clone-13 variety with pathogen virulence levels of 65.94%. Whereas, Ouma-2 and South Africa varieties determined pathogen virulence levels were 39.09 and 36.34%, respectively as demonstrated in Table 1. The other values determined by the algorithm towards determination of host plant resistance and pathogen virulence levels are captured in Table 3 to enable validation by an independent individual or researcher.

DISCUSSION

The results indicated that Clone-13 had low host plant resistance levels to the pathogen '*Candidatus* Phytoplasma oryzae' strain Mbita-1(Table 1). This is consistent with the general qualitative description of the variety's response to the disease as generally susceptible though the level of host plant resistance has not been reported for this variety until these findings in this current study^{4,22,23}. In the evaluations of Wamalwa *et al.*⁴ Clone-13 variety had a validated disease incidence of 16.7%. The variety typical of many napier grass varieties is a vigorous grower when not diseased and generally exhibits moderately varying growth and biomass levels outputs in different agro-ecological zones due to genotype differences and agronomy practices

Table 1: Determined levels of host plant resistance levels (HPRL) of individual varieties and pathogen virulence levels (PVL) based on the algorithm

	. 5	
Varieties	Host plant resistance levels (%)	Pathogen virulence levels (%)
Clone-13	34.06	65.94
Ouma-2	60.91	39.09
South Africa	63.66	36.34

Table 2: Interpretation key for the determined host plant resistance levels by the algorithm

÷	
Range values (%)	Host plant resistance classification
From 0-24	Very low resistance (or) very susceptible
From 25-49	Low resistance (or) susceptible
From 50-74	High resistance (or) resistant
From 75-100	Very high resistance (or) very resistant

Table 3: Determined levels of other variables used in estimation of host plant resistance levels and pathogen virulence levels from the algorithm

based on the data in step 1								
	Values							
Varieties	MRIDIT	IPDL (%)	IPGL (%)	RPL (%)				
Clone-13	-43.09	43.09	56.91	11.21				
Ouma-2	-12.70	12.70	87.30	34.51				
South Africa	-10.38	10.38	89.62	37.69				

MRIDIT: Magnitude of relative logarithmic index of divergence of the inoculated treatments, IPDL: Integrated parameter damage levels, RPL: Relative performance levels, IPGL: Integrated parameter growth levels

Table 4: Data of 3 varieties mean performance in 2 trials of 24 weeks each of growth under *Candidatus* phytoplasma oryzae' strain Mbita 1 infection and their controls performance

	Napier stunt Inoculated treatments performance in 3 parameters		Non-inoculated (controls) treatments performance in 3 parameters			
	Plant height	Chlorophyll levels	Biomass	Plant height	Chlorophyll levels	Biomass
Varieties	(cm)	(SPAD)	levels (g)	(cm)	(SPAD)	levels (g)
Clone-13	18±9.5	8±1.3	28±5.20	158±12.6	46±2.2	298±15.2
Ouma-2	98±7.8	28±2.5	204±16.4	188±14.2	58±3.6	352±18.5
South Africa	86±4.5	30±3.3	177±5.4	146±10.4	53±1.8	267±8.7

Data was means±standard deviation of the varieties' respective treatments each replicated ten times in each of the 2 trials of evaluation at International Centre for Insect Physiology and Ecology-Mbita, Kenya, data was used to test the algorithm levels of estimating host plant resistance and pathogen virulence levels

effect^{24,25}. This scenario could explain why the variety was significantly damaged though not entirely by the pathogen at 65.94% virulence levels (Table 1). Thus, validating the likely use of the algorithm in estimating quantitatively host plant resistance levels.

The other 2 varieties, Ouma-2 and South Africa were equally consistent with their descriptive qualities in various evaluation reports, as generally being tolerant to the napier stunt disease^{3,8}. However, no report of quantitative estimation on the host plant resistance level has been reported until these findings in the current study. This scenario can be attributed to their inherent gene properties that enable plants mount a defense against an infection^{1,6,7}. Therefore, the quantitative estimation of this trait may open new horizons in the evaluation of plants for resistance against diseases and prediction of the types of resistance⁶. Moreover, when screening for possible presence of pathogen pathovars quantification of virulence and resistance will be a critical parameters as reported by Keane⁶. This is because different pathovars have varying impact on gualitative levels of resistance unlike quantitative resistance which is specific¹. This is likely to complement the qualitative strategies existing especially in selection of resistance trait and generally plant improvement. The host plant resistance of an infected crop (napier grass) has been quantitatively estimated using a designed algorithm and differences in levels exist. Secondly, the estimation of resistance by the algorithm could be used to select stable and desirable plant cultivars that can be used to manage various plant diseases effectively to limit the process of co-evolution using host plant resistance approach.

CONCLUSION

Basing on the available reports of the varieties general response to the disease, the algorithm managed to give a quantitative value on their host plant resistance that seems consistent with the available qualitative information. Hence, the algorithm exhibits some potential of being applied in complementing screening efforts for resistance that use qualitative approaches, especially in third world countries where computer imaging technologies are limited. However, there is need for the algorithm to be adopted with other crops and different plant diseases to test its versatility in application. This will contribute in its improvement towards a very accurate, reliable, cheap and cost effective tool of analysis of host plant resistance and plant diseases' virulence levels.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

The article introduces a novel concept of estimating quantitatively the host plant resistance levels of plants towards pathogens management by use of an algorithm. The algorithm uses post experiment collected data of napier grass infected by an obligate pathogen' *Candidatus* Phytoplasma oryzae' strain Mbita 1. This approach will complement the selection approaches of resistant plants during screening for resistance. Further, the findings will help in giving direction on estimation of pathogen virulence levels which is an important variable in plant pathology.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Firstly, the author would like to thank ICIPE-Mbita and Masinde Muliro University of Science and Technology management for supporting the project by provision of research infrastructure. Secondly, the NRF-Kenya for partial funding of the project. Above all, the Almighty God for wisdom, knowledge and understanding.

REFERENCES

- 1. Parry, D.W., 1990. Plant Pathology in Agriculture. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK., ISBN-13: 978-0521363518, Pages: 402.
- Andrivon, D., R. Pelle and D. Ellisseche, 2006. Assessing resistance types and levels to epidemic diseases from the analysis of disease progress curves: Principles and application to potato late blight. Am. J. Potato Res., 83: 455-461.
- Negawo, A.T., A. Teshome, A. Kumar, J. Hanson and C.S. Jones, 2017. Opportunities for Napier grass (*Pennisetum purpureum*) improvement using molecular genetics. Agronomy, Vol. 7, No. 2. 10.3390/agronomy7020028.
- Wamalwa, N.I.E., C.A.O. Midega, S. Ajanga, N.E. Omukunda and F.N. Muyekho *et al.*, 2017. Screening Napier grass accessions for resistance to Napier grass stunt disease using the loop-mediated isothermal amplification of DNA (LAMP). Crop Protect., 98: 61-69.
- Freeman, B.C. and G.A. Beattie, 2008. An overview of plant defenses against pathogens and herbivores. Plant Health Instructor. 10.1094/PHI-I-2008-0226-01
- Keane, P.J., 2012. Horizontal or Generalized Resistance to Pathogens in Plants. In: Plant Pathology, Cumagun, C.J. (Ed.). Chapter 13, InTech Publisher, Rijeka, Croatia, ISBN: 978-953-51-0489-6, pp: 327-362.
- Pratt, R., S. Gordon, P. Lipps, G. Asea, G. Bigirwa and K. Pixley, 2003. Use of IPM in the control of multiple diseases in maize: Strategies for selection of host resistance. Afr. Crop Sci. J., 11: 189-198.

- Kabirizi, J., F. Muyekho, M. Mulaa, R. Musangi and B. Pallangyo *et al.*, 2015. Napier Grass Feed Resource: Production, Constraints and Implications for Smallholder Farmers in Eastern and Central Africa. The Eastern African Agricultural Productivity Project (EAAPP) Publication, Kenya, ISBN: 978-9970-9269-1-6, Pages: 159.
- Bock, C.H., G.H. Poole, P.E. Parker and T.R. Gottwald, 2010. Plant disease severity estimated visually, by digital photography and image analysis and by hyperspectral imaging. Crit. Rev. Plant Sci., 29: 59-107.
- Mutka, A.M. and R.S. Bart, 2015. Image-based phenotyping of plant disease symptoms. Front. Plant Sci., Vol. 5. 10.3389/fpls.2014.00734.
- Omayio, O.D., 2018. Morphological and molecular characterization, pathogenicity and co-infection effects of Ustilago kamerunensis with "Candidatus Phytoplasma oryzae" strain Mbita1 on Napier grass growth tolerance under varying nutrients and moisture levels. Ph.D. Thesis, School of Physical and Biological Sciences, Maseno University, Maseno, Kenya.
- Omayio, D.O., D.M. Musyimi, F.N. Muyekho, S.I. Ajanga, C.A.O. Midega, Z.R. Khan and I.W. Kariuki, 2018. Introducing a novel natural logarithmic indices and their corresponding percentages table towards quantitative estimation of plant tolerance levels to stressors. Int. J. Biosci., 12: 78-98.
- 13. Roy, B.A. and J.W. Kirchner, 2000. Evolutionary dynamics of pathogen resistance and tolerance. Evolution, 54: 51-63.
- 14. Rausher, M.D., 2001. Co-evolution and plant resistance to natural enemies. Nature, 411: 857-864.
- Fischer, A., I. Santana-Cruz, L. Wambua, C. Olds and C. Midega *et al.*, 2016. Draft genome sequence of *"Candidatus* Phytoplasma oryzae" strain Mbita1, the causative agent of Napier grass stunt disease in Kenya. Genome Announc., Vol. 4, No. 2. 10.1128/genomeA.00297-16.

- 16. Causton, D.R. and J.C. Venus, 1981. The Biometry of Plant Growth. Edward Arnold, London, UK., Pages: 307.
- 17. Russell, K.G., 1991. Estimating the value of *e* by simulation. Am. Statistician, 45: 66-68.
- Hunt, R., D.R. Causton, B. Shipley and A.P. Askew, 2002. A modern tool for classical plant growth analysis. Ann. Bot., 90: 485-488.
- Zar, H.J., 2010. Biostatistical Analysis. 5th Edn., Prentice Hall Inc., Upper Saddle River, NJ., USA., ISBN-13: 9780131008465, pp: 174.
- 20. Okpiliya, F.I., 2012. Ecological diversity indices: Any hope for one again? J. Environ. Earth Sci., 2: 45-52.
- 21. Obura, E., 2012. The pathosystem of Napier stunting disease in Western Kenya. Ph.D. Thesis, Egerton University, Njoro, Kenya.
- 22. Kawube, G., T. Alicai, M. Otim, A. Mukwaya, J. Kabirizi and H. Talwana, 2014. Resistance of Napier grass clones to Napier grass stunt disease. Afr. Crop Sci. J., 22: 229-235.
- Wamalwa, N.I.E., C.A.O. Midega, S. Ajanga, N.E. Omukunda and D.M.W. Ochieno *et al.*, 2015. Screening Napier Accessions for Resistance/Tolerance to NSD Using the Loop Mediated Isothermal Amplification of DNA (LAMP). In: Napier Grass Feed Resource: Production, Constraints and Implications for Smallholder Farmers in Eastern and Central Africa, Kabirizi, J., F. Muyekho, M. Mulaa, R. Musangi and B. Pallangyo *et al.* (Eds.). Chapter 5.4, EAAPP Publication, Kenya, ISBN: 978-9970-9269-1-6, pp: 78-93.
- 24. Ramadhan, A., M.N. Njunie and K.K. Lewa, 2015. Effect of planting material and variety on productivity and survival of Napier grass (*Pennisetum purpureum* Schumach) in the coastal lowlands of Kenya. East Afr. Agric. For. J., 81: 40-45.
- 25. Turano, B., U.P. Tiwari and R. Jha, 2016. Growth and nutritional evaluation of Napier grass hybrids as forage for ruminants. Trop. Grasslands, 4: 168-178.