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Mohamed Suleiman Mukras, Odondo Juma Alphonce*, Gideon Momanyi

Department of Economics Maseno University Kisumu city-Kenya
*Corresponding Author:alphonceodondo@gmail.com

Abstract Despite the potential of sweet potato in helping to meet Kenya’s food needs and poverty reduction through income generation, detailed information on sweet potato demand relations is unavailable to enable the traders plan their production and marketing activities. Thus, the study examined determinants of demand for sweet potatoes in Kenya with specific reference to Rachuonyo south district and its key market outlets. Out of 166 commercial farmers, fifty five wholesalers and an infinite number of retailers, one hundred and sixteen commercial farmers were selected using simple random sampling technique while 384 retailers were purposively selected. The 55 wholesalers were also included in the sample. Primary data were collected using questionnaires while secondary data were from respondents’ records. ANOVA indicated that demand for sweet potatoes varied significantly across the markets (F = 133.261, \( p = 0.000 \)), due to differences in magnitude of effects of the independent factors on demand. The study results suggest the need for market segmentation by retailers and directing part of retail purchases to the markets dominated by low income groups, attitude change through awareness creation, financial empowerment of the sellers and selling varieties of sweet potatoes particularly those that are highly preferred by the final consumers.
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1. Introduction

Sweet potato is one of the mankind’s high yielding crops with higher food value and total production per unit area than other staple food crops such as sorghum, maize, millet and rice. It is the world’s seventh most important food crop after wheat, rice, maize, barley and cassava [8], [31], [33]. In nutritional terms, sweet potatoes, particularly the yellow-fleshed varieties are good sources of vitamin A (300 micrograms/100 grams, fresh weight) [42]. A comparison with other food crops shows that it yields more calories per unit area than maize and nearly as much as cassava, while its protein yield is far higher than the latter [9]. On worldwide scale, the economic importance of sweet potato among all food crops is exceeded only by cereals (wheat, rice, maize and barley) [33]. Although the crop is grown in more than 100 countries around the globe, about 90% is produced in Asia with just below 5% in Africa [42]. Sweet potato serves as a staple diet in many parts of Uganda, Nigeria, Rwanda, Tanzania, Angola, Burundi and Kenya [3]. China is the world’s largest producer with an annual harvest of 100 million tones. Uganda, Nigeria, Indonesia and Vietnam which follow china in production each harvest about 2.5 million tons of Sweet potatoes annually [8].

Sweet potato can adapt to a wide range of agro-ecological conditions and performs well in low-input agriculture. It is mostly grown at mid-elevations ranging from 800 to 200m above sea level. Africa’s production is concentrated in east Africa, particularly around the great Lakes region [11]. Sweet potato presence and adaptation to tropical areas, where per capita incomes are generally low and its nutritional value makes it an important component in food production and consumption [42]. It is increasingly becoming an important food security and famine relief crop during seasons of crop failure [18], [25]. About 2.5 million ha in Africa are under sweet potato with a total production of about 11 million tons [2]. Kenya is the seventh largest African sweet potato producer with an average yield of 8.2 tons/ha [10] against a potential of 50 tons/ha [8]. With the falling proportion of arable land per household due to population pressure, there is need to increase sweet potato yields to meet the current demand for food and to provide sufficient market information to potential sweet potato producers as a means to investment in the sweet potato industry. This, through trickledown effects, may help in reducing poverty at the local level. The main sweet potato producing regions in Kenya are South Nyanza, around Lake Victoria region particularly Rachuonyo south district; western; eastern and some parts of central and coastal regions [29], [28], [36].

In Kenya, sweet potato is grown in continuous cycle with one planting season overlapping with another. Piecemeal
harvesting of tubers commonly extends the crop’s season [22]. Sweet potato is largely grown for its tuberous storage roots, which are generally steamed or boiled [3]. The roots provide energy, proteins, vitamins A (particularly in orange fleshed sweet potato varieties), B₁ and B₂, ascorbic acid and folic acid in diets. Other important nutrients in sweet potato roots are calcium, phosphorous, sodium, iron and potassium [42]. Young sweet potato leaves are also consumed as vegetables in some countries and are rich in vitamins A, B₁, B₂, proteins and iron [42]. Generally, the storage roots of sweet potato and foliage are also important supplementary fodder in livestock production. Hence, Sweet potato plays an important role in ensuring food security, especially during droughts in large parts of Africa and is seen as an important ingredient in animal feed industries [18].

Despite the potential of sweet potato in helping to meet Kenya’s food needs and reduce poverty levels through income generation, detailed information on the sweet potato demand relations is unavailable to enable the farmers, wholesalers and retailers plan their sweet potato production and marketing activities. The lack of proper planning due to insufficient market information is partly evidenced by the high poverty levels in the major sweet potato growing regions such as Kuria and Rachuonyo, which have poverty levels of 79% and 77% respectively [13], [14]. According to Rachuonyo South District development plan 2003 – 2009, cited by [23], household income per capita in the region is between US $ 70 – 75. This observation was further supported by the National Poverty Strategy Paper (NPSP) cited by [23], which indicates that 59% of the South Rachuonyo district populace live below a dollar a day. Lack of concrete market information on sweet potato demand has been supported by [1] who asserted that sweet potato is an “orphaned” crop, one that has been given little research attention and promotion. [19] in its working paper also indicated that lack of information on the sweet potato market structure and performance constraints the development of the sweet potato industry in the sub-Saharan Africa, and recommended that more market research be conducted in this industry. It is on this basis that the study sought to identify and examine determinants of demand for sweet potatoes in Kenya with specific reference to Rachuonyo south district and its key market outlets. Findings if this study may provide literature for subsequent research in sweet potatoes’ industry not only in Kenya but also other sweet potatoes producing regions in the world. This study was based on the consumer demand theory which highlights theoretical relationships between demand for a commodity and its determinants.

2. Literature Review

Kenya has various sweet potato marketing systems that are dominated by women [37], and run parallel, some with forward linkages from the villages, others with market wholesalers having backward linkages into the villages. These marketing systems embraces: Village level retail markets which sell small quantities in heaps usually supplied from small surpluses of farmers; local town markets which are usually supplied by farmers-traders who collect small supplies from farms, transshipment markets for big urban markets and the final destination markets which are the wholesale markets of Nairobi, Kisumu and Mombasa cities of Kenya [9]. Research by [31] on the development and promotion of sweet potato products in western Kenya revealed that sweet potato utilization has for a long time been limited to boiling, roasting and chewing raw. However, diversified sweet potato utilization had an indication for improving food security and local household incomes of the region. A study by [40], and [37] concurs with this finding as they observed that besides boiling or selling sweet potato as raw food, Kenya’s sweet potato is processed and used to make chapati, mandazi, chips and cakes. Consumers prefer sweet potato products to pure wheat flour as observed by 82.4% of the consumers interviewed (Owuor, 1996 cited in [9]. Its consumption also depends on the seasons as noted by 78% of the respondents interviewed [37]. Past trends show that unit prices of sweet potatoes in Kenya have been increasing since the year 2003, a likely indication of rising demand for the crop [37].

In terms of annual per capita consumption of sweet potatoes, Kenya ranks fifth among the African countries after Burundi, Rwanda, Uganda, and Madagascar [17]. Due to the semi subsistent production patterns of sweet potato, consumption figures are expected to be significantly higher in rural than in urban areas [35]. A study by [34] revealed that over 90% of households in major cities consume fresh sweet potatoes at least once per week. Moreover, richer households in Nairobi city of Kenya consume sweet potatoes more often than poorer ones.

A study by [25] on livelihood diversification strategies among small holder farmers in the southern Nyanza region of Kenya reveals that sweet potato is among the most important crops that help in food security in the area. However, the land under sweet potato and other food crops have been decreasing over time as most of the farmers are shifting to tobacco growing which is perceived to have ready market and clear market prices set by the tobacco companies as opposed to other agricultural products whose market structures are not well under stood by the farmers. From [9], it is clear that many local people who live in urban, peri-urban and rural areas have the potential of deriving their incomes from various activities of sweet potato production to consumption system. These activities include production, harvesting, assembling, processing, transportation and marketing.

According to USAID cited by[1], sweet potato global market is growing every year, presenting opportunities for export and for subsistence farmers not only to improve their food security situation but also income from the sales of surplus. The government of Kenya, through the ministry of Agriculture responded to this by introducing new sweet potato varieties and training farmers on how to reduce
post-harvest losses. This resulted in increased sweet potato national output from 400 metric tons in 2010 to 690 metric tons in 2011, a 72% increase [1]. Generally such rise in outputs may be beneficial if they correspond to the demand for the produce. However, determinants of demand for such outputs at the different channel levels are not well understood. This observation is supported by [1], who asserted that sweet potato is an “orphaned” crop, one that has been given little research attention and promotion. A study by [32] also showed that lack of sufficient market information on the role played by various market factors on the demand for sweet potatoes is a barrier to entry into the sweet potato industry. From the literature, it is clear that sweet potato has the potential of helping to meet Kenya’s food needs and reduce poverty levels through income generation. However, its full exploitation is still constrained by insufficient market information. Establishment of sweet potatoes’ demand relations is thus imperative as it may help the traders in singling out the market factor that may be manipulated to help promote demand for the crop not only in Kenya but also other sweet potatoes growing countries.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Study Area

This study focused on Rachuonyo south district where sweet potato production, processing and marketing were common. The district is in the southern Nyanza region of Kenya. It was curved out of Rachuonyo district which lies between longitudes 34°25 and 35°0 East and latitudes 0°15 and 0°45 south. Sweet potato related activities in the district were concentrated in Kabondo division, which covered about 141.5 km² [15]. Sweet potatoes produced in the region were being sold locally in the district and to other market outlets such as Ahero town, Nakuru town and Nairobi city.

3.2. Research Design

The study adopted both exploratory and correlational research designs. Exploratory design helped in gaining an insight into the sweet potato market while correlational design perpetuated the understanding of relationships among the study variables.

3.3. Model Specification

From microeconomic theory, demand for a product is a function of the product’s own price, price of substitute commodities, taste and preference of consumers, consumers’ attitude towards the commodity, product’s quality and other characteristics, extent of awareness creation, consumers’ income levels among others [24]. These factors can be presented in a generalized functional model given in equation 1.

\[
Q_d = f (P_y, P_s, T_p, A, I, PD, AD)
\] (1)

Where:

- \(Q_d\) = Quantity demanded for a commodity
- \(P_y\) = Unit price for a commodity
- \(P_s\) = Price of substitute commodities
- \(T_p\) = Taste and Preference of consumers
- \(A\) = Attitude of the consumers towards the commodity
- \(I\) = Income levels of buyers
- \(PD\) = Extent of product differentiation
- \(AD\) = Extent of awareness creation by the sellers

A stochastic form of the generalized demand function was expressed as:

\[
Q_{di} = B \ P_y^{\beta_1} T_p^{\beta_2} A^{\beta_3} I^{\beta_4} P_s^{\beta_5} PD_1^{\beta_6} AD_1^{\beta_7} e^{ui}
\] (2)

Equation 2 was subsequently log-transformed and yielded equation 3, which was finally, estimated using Ordinary Least Square (OLS) technique.

\[
\ln Q_{di} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln P_y + \beta_2 \ln T_p + \beta_3 \ln A + \beta_4 \ln I + \beta_5 \ln P_s + \beta_6 \ln PD + \beta_7 \ln AD + \mu_i
\] (3)

Where \(\beta_0 = \ln B\), \(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, \beta_5, \beta_6, \beta_7\) are partial elasticities of demand with respect to unit price (\(P_y\)) of sweet potatoes, taste and preference of buyers (\(T_p\)), attitude of the buyers towards sweet potatoes (\(A\)), buyers level of incomes (\(I\)), price of substitute commodities (\(P_s\)), extent of sweet potato differentiation (\(PD\)) and the extent of awareness creation (\(AD\)).

3.4. Validity and Reliability of the Data Collection Instruments

To enhance validity of the research instruments, a team of four experts in the areas of agricultural economics were given the instruments for thorough scrutiny and any amendment in the contents was done in accordance with the research objective. Reconnaissance survey was also done in the months of September- December 2012. According to [7], a reconnaissance survey is important in clarifying the starting point of one’s research. The researcher thus, used the reconnaissance survey to familiarize with the situation in the sweet potato marketing system in the study area and locate elements of the study population and the study units. During this period, tests and pretests of questionnaires were done to make any necessary modifications before the actual data collection.

3.5. Data Sources and Data Collection Methods

The study used both primary and secondary data. Primary data were collected using questionnaires. A five point likert scale was used to capture aspects of the market that could not be directly quantified. Such included information on consumer attitude, extent of awareness creation, extent of
product differentiation and taste and preference of buyers. The likert scale measures attitudes or opinions by asking people to respond to a series of statements about a topic, in terms of the extent to which they agree with them, and so tapping into the cognitive and effective components of attitude[27], [26]. Secondary data were obtained from: farmers, retailers, and wholesalers’ records, books and journals.

3.6. Sampling Procedures

The sampling frame was a list of 166 farmers; 55 wholesalers and an infinite number of retailers [36]. A total of 116 farmers, fifty five (55) wholesalers and 384 retailers were sampled. All the 55 wholesalers were interviewed and individual farmers selected using simple random sampling technique while the retailers were purposively selected.

3.7. Data analysis and Presentation Techniques

Ordinary least square technique (OLS) was used to estimate various parameters associated with the study variables and t-tests conducted at 95% level of significance to determine the significance of parameters estimated. One way ANOVA was also used to test if there were significant differences in the demand for sweet potatoes in the markets (farm, wholesale and retail).

4. Results

4.1 Response Rate

Table 4.0 shows that out of the 555 anticipated respondents, only 421 responded to the research questions. This gave a response rate ranging between 71.09% to 87.07% with a mean response rate of 81.15%. The mean response rate was considered appropriate as it conforms to the views of [21] who asserted that a response rate of 80% is a de facto standard.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category of Respondents</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Farmers</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>8.34</td>
<td>.52</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>7.04</td>
<td>9.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retailers</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>7.93</td>
<td>.60</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>9.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wholesalers</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>9.38</td>
<td>.47</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>8.37</td>
<td>10.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>8.19</td>
<td>.73</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>10.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: computed from survey data, 2013

Table 4.1. ANOVA outputs for the sweet potato demand model at Farm, Wholesale and Retail market

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between Groups</td>
<td>86.75</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>43.37</td>
<td>133.26</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within Groups</td>
<td>136.05</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>222.79</td>
<td>420</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: computed from survey data, 2013

4.3. Sweet Potato Farm Level Demand Model

ANOVA results in table 4.3 shows that the estimated model explains well the deviations in the demand for sweet potatoes at the farm level as depicted by F(7, 93) = 35.759 at p = 0.000.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>19.40</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.772</td>
<td>35.759</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>7.21</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>.078</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>26.61</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: computed from survey data, 2013
Table 4.4 shows that the proportion of variance in the demand explained by the independent variables is 70.9% while 72.9% variations in the demand could be explained by the variations in the independent variables. The value of Durbin-Watson is 1.794, which is close to 2, an indication of the absence of serial correlation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R Square</th>
<th>Adjusted R Square</th>
<th>Std. Error of the Estimate</th>
<th>Durbin-Watson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>.854</td>
<td>.729</td>
<td>.709</td>
<td>.2784097</td>
<td>1.794</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: computed from survey data, 2013

Table 4.5 shows that out of the seven independent variables, three independent variables which include: Price of substitute goods (β = 0.164, p = 0.045 < 0.05); income level of dominating buyers (β = 0.504, p = 0.000 < 0.01) and buyer preference (β = 0.308, p = 0.018 < 0.05), all had positive significant effects on the demand for sweet potato. However, the unit price of sweet potato (β = -0.039, p = 0.805 > 0.05) had insignificant negative effect on the demand. Consumer attitude (β = 0.143, p = 0.241 > 0.05), Sweet potato differentiation (β = 0.165, p = 0.105 > 0.05) and extent of awareness creation (β = 0.31, p = 0.754 > 0.05) had insignificant positive effects on the demand for sweet potato at the farm level. The Variance of Inflation Factors (VIFs) corresponding to the independent variables range between 1.472 and 2.771.

| Source: computed from survey data, 2013 |

Table 4.5. Estimated natural log regression coefficients for variables in the farm level demand model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Coefficients</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>VIF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td>7.069</td>
<td>14.745</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Py</td>
<td>-.039</td>
<td>-.247</td>
<td>.805</td>
<td>1.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ps</td>
<td>.164</td>
<td>2.034</td>
<td>.045</td>
<td>1.712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>.504</td>
<td>6.556</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>1.810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tp</td>
<td>.308</td>
<td>2.399</td>
<td>.018</td>
<td>3.296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>.143</td>
<td>1.180</td>
<td>.241</td>
<td>2.334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD</td>
<td>.165</td>
<td>1.639</td>
<td>.105</td>
<td>2.422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD</td>
<td>.031</td>
<td>.314</td>
<td>.754</td>
<td>1.780</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N/B: Sweet potato unit price (Py), Price of substitute commodities (Ps), Preference of buyers (Tp), Attitude of the consumers towards the commodity (A), Extent of awareness creation (AD), Income levels of the dominating buyer (I), Sweet potato differentiation (PD),

4.4. Sweet Potato Wholesale Demand Model

Table 4.6. ANOVA results for the Wholesale sweet potato demand model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>7.373</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.053</td>
<td>14.341</td>
<td>.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>2.865</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>.073</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10.238</td>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: computed from survey data, 2013

Table 4.7 shows that the proportion of variance in the demand explained by the independent variables is 67.0% while 72.0% variations in the demand could be explained by the variations in the independent variables. The value of Durbin-Watson is 1.653.

| Source: computed from survey data, 2013 |

Table 4.7. Summary of sweet potato wholesale demand model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>R Square</th>
<th>Adjusted R Square</th>
<th>R Square Change</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>F Change</th>
<th>D-W test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>849*</td>
<td>.720</td>
<td>.670</td>
<td>.720</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>1.653</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.8 Shows information effects of individual independent variables on the dependent variable. Unit price of sweet potato (β = -0.999, p = 0.003 < 0.01) had a negative significant effect on the demand for sweet potato at the wholesale level. Income of the dominating buyer (β = 0.310, p = 0.042 < 0.05); Taste and preference of the buyers (β = 0.311, p = 0.036 < 0.05) and sweet potato differentiation (β = 0.357, p = 0.017 < 0.05) all had positive significant effects on the demand. The unit price of substitute commodities (β = 0.029, p = 0.830 > 0.05); Buyers’ attitude (β = 0.031, p = 0.819 > 0.05) and awareness creation (β = 0.031, p = 0.857 > 0.05) all had positive insignificant effects on the demand. The Variance of Inflation Factors (VIFs) corresponding to the independent variables range between 1.472 and 2.771.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficients</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>VIF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td>11.710</td>
<td>1.133</td>
<td>10.333</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Py</td>
<td>-.999</td>
<td>.311</td>
<td>-3.215</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td>1.472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ps</td>
<td>.029</td>
<td>.134</td>
<td>.216</td>
<td>.830</td>
<td>2.314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>.310</td>
<td>.147</td>
<td>2.104</td>
<td>.042</td>
<td>1.912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tp</td>
<td>.311</td>
<td>.143</td>
<td>2.175</td>
<td>.036</td>
<td>2.771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>.031</td>
<td>.132</td>
<td>.231</td>
<td>.819</td>
<td>2.149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD</td>
<td>.357</td>
<td>.144</td>
<td>2.487</td>
<td>.017</td>
<td>1.645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD</td>
<td>.031</td>
<td>.173</td>
<td>.181</td>
<td>.857</td>
<td>1.633</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N/B: Sweet potato unit price (Py), Price of substitute commodities (Ps), Preference of buyers (Tp), Attitude of the consumers towards the commodity (A), Income levels of the dominating buyer (I), Sweet potato differentiation (PD), Extent of awareness creation (AD),

Table 4.8. Estimated natural log regression coefficients for variables at the wholesale demand model
4.5. Sweet Potato Retail Demand Model

The ANOVA results in Table 4.10 suggest that the estimated demand model explains well the deviations in the demand for sweet potato at the retail level \{F (7, 265) = 76.194 at \( p = 0.000 \}\}. Consumer’s level of income \((\beta = -0.309, p = 0.000 < 0.01)\) both had negative significant effects on the demand for sweet potato. Consumer taste and preference \((\beta = 0.161, p = 0.004 < 0.01)\), Consumer attitude \((\beta = 0.320, p = 0.000 < 0.01)\) and sweet potato differentiation \((\beta = 0.048, p = 0.001 < 0.01)\) all had positive significant effects on the demand for sweet potatoes at the retail level. However, the price levels of substitute products \((\beta = 0.034, p = 0.670 > 0.05)\) and extent of awareness creation \((\beta = 0.048, p = 0.441 > 0.05)\) had positive insignificant effects on the demand for sweet potatoes at the retail level. The Variance of Inflation Factors (VIFs) corresponding to the independent variables range between 1.266 and 1.591.

### Table 4.10. ANOVA Results for the sweet potato demand model at the retail market.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>66.272</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9.467</td>
<td>76.194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>32.927</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>.124</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>99.199</td>
<td>272</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: computed from survey data, 2013

Table 4.11 shows that the proportion of variance in the demand explained by the independent variables is 65.9% while 66.8% variations in the demand could be explained by the variations in the independent variables. The value of Durbin-Watson is 1.790, which is close to 2, an indication of the absence of serial correlation.

### Table 4.11. Summary of sweet potato Retail demand model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R Square</th>
<th>Adjust. R Square</th>
<th>F Change</th>
<th>Sig. F Change</th>
<th>DW Stat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.817</td>
<td>.668</td>
<td>.659</td>
<td>.76194</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: computed from survey data, 2013

### Table 4.12. Estimated natural log regression coefficients for variables in the retail demand model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>variables</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>VIF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>13.750</td>
<td>23.123</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_s )</td>
<td>-1.688</td>
<td>-11.021</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>1.591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_y )</td>
<td>.034</td>
<td>.427</td>
<td>.670</td>
<td>1.452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>-.309</td>
<td>-4.299</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>1.266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tp</td>
<td>.161</td>
<td>2.904</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>1.590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>.320</td>
<td>5.063</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>1.478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD</td>
<td>.205</td>
<td>3.217</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>1.370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD</td>
<td>.048</td>
<td>.771</td>
<td>.441</td>
<td>1.346</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: computed from survey data, 2013

N/B: Sweet potato unit price \((P_s)\),
Price of substitute commodities \((P_y)\),
Preference of buyers \((T_p)\),
Attitude of the consumers towards the commodity \((A)\),
Income levels of the dominating buyer \((I)\),
Sweet potato differentiation \((PD)\),
Extent of awareness creation \((AD)\)

Table 4.12 shows that out of the seven independent variables, two independent variables which include: Unit price of sweet potato \((\beta = -1.688, p = 0.000 < 0.01)\) and

5. Discussion of Results

The study revealed that a 1% increase in the farm gate prices would yield an insignificant downward change of 0.039% in the demand for sweet potatoes at the farm level. However, a similar percentage change in the retail prices would yield a significant downward change of 1.688% in the demand for sweet potato at the retail market and 0.999% at the wholesale level. These results were in agreement with [41] who analyzed demand relations of fresh sweet potatoes in the St. Louis and Chicago markets and showed that a significant increase in wholesale price would decrease quantity demanded and vice versa. The insignificant negative effect of the price change on the demand for sweet potato at the farm level could be ascribed to the farmers’ allegation that farm gate prices were largely dictated by the wholesalers who were their major customers. It also reinforces [1] assertion that lack of a body to help farmers bargain for good prices had exposed them to traders who offer low prices.

It was further established that a 1% increase in the prices of sweet potato substitutes would lead to a significant 0.164% increase in the demand for sweet potatoes at the farm level. A similar percentage change would have insignificant increase in the demand at the retail level by 0.034% and 0.029% at the wholesale level. Ordinarily, when the price of a competing good increases it becomes relatively expensive. According to Sir John R. Hicks, this scenario would lead to substitution effects, where some units of the expensive good would be forgone for extra units of the cheaper commodity [5]. This implies that when the prices of sweet potato related goods increase, then the demand for sweet potato would increase *ceteris paribus*.

A one percent change in the incomes of dominating customers yielded a significant upward change in the demand at the farm level by 0.504% and 0.310% at the wholesale level. The results imply that when the wholesalers’ incomes increased, they would buy more from the farmers since they were the major buyers. Similarly, when the retailers’ incomes increased, they would purchase more from the wholesalers. However, a 1% change in the incomes of dominating customers would yield a significant
downward change of 0.309% in the demand for sweet potatoes at the retail level. This negative effect is in line with [12], who found that for every unit increase in the natural log of a household’ wage in Papua New Guinea, demand for sweet potato declined by 4.4% points. The negative effect of the income increase on the demand for sweet potato at the retail level is a likely indication that sweet potato is an inferior commodity.

The study also revealed that a 1% change in the consumer attitude would have a significant upward change of 0.320% on the demand for sweet potatoes at the retail level. A similar % change would have an insignificant upward change of 0.031% on the demand for sweet potatoes at the wholesale level and 0.143% at the farm level. These findings differ from the results of [4] who revealed lack of statistical evidence that could support assuring that there was a positive relationship between a positive attitude towards a service or a product and a higher purchasing behaviour. He however, noted that there was low consumer involvement in the purchase of the company’s product, as a result, the consumers could first form their beliefs toward the company before deciding to purchase or not to purchase from the company. In this study however, sweet potato is a staple food crop which to a considerable extent, constitute the diet of low income earners. The extent of buyer involvement is thus higher, a scenario that could have led to the significant effect of consumer attitude on the demand for sweet potatoes at the retail level. These findings conform to the economic theory which postulates a positive association between a positive attitude towards a service or a product and a higher purchasing behavior.

According to [5], economists agree that other forces besides price and marginal utility play a role in determining what people demand. Most of the forces fall under consumer taste. On this basis, the study assessed the effect of consumer taste and preference on the demand for sweet potatoes. It was established that a 1% change in the consumer taste and preference would yield an upward change of 0.308% in the demand for sweet potatoes at the farm level and 0.311% at the wholesale level. A similar percentage change would yield an upward change of 0.161% in the demand at the retail level. These findings were in agreement with the results of [30], who revealed significant influence of taste on market demand for sweet potatoes in the USA. They however, observed that when experience attribute of taste was introduced in their analysis, the respondents displayed significant preference ordering. In this study, since sweet potato consumers were not directly involved, it was rather difficult to assess their preference ordering.

Market awareness involves letting the existing and potential customers know that information or service exists and is available. In the sweet potato industry, sellers could carry out awareness creation by simply calling or sending text messages to their existing customers on the availability of their products. The study assessed the effect of this undertaking on the demand for sweet potatoes and revealed that a 1% change in the extent of awareness creation on the existence and availability of sweet potatoes at the farm and wholesale levels yielded insignificant upward change of 0.031% in the demand for sweet potatoes in both cases. On the other hand, a 1% change in the extent of awareness creation on the existence and availability of sweet potatoes at the retail market would lead to an upward change of 0.048% in the demand at the retail level. These insignificant effects could be due to lack of intensive persuasive awareness creation by the sellers. Persuasive awareness/advertising in competitive markets was identified by [20] as a tool that significantly influences consumer behaviour in differentiated markets, thus, the demand for the commodity.

Generally diversity is considered as the staff of economic life and there are many approaches to relate this notion to the variety of choices that confront consumers [38]. According to [16] one of the important tools of dealing with diversity or variety is product differentiation. The current study revealed that sweet potato was largely differentiated on the basis of colour, taste, size and consumer preference. The effect of these differentiation dimensions on the demand for sweet potato was established by first asking the respondents to give the extent to which product differentiation affected their sales volume. The outcome of each respondent’s response was then regressed with its corresponding sales volume, and the results showed that a percentage change in the extent of sweet potato differentiation would have an upward significant change of 0.205% in the demand at the retail level and 0.357% at the wholesale level. It however, had an insignificant upward change of 0.165% in the sweet potatoes demand at the farm level. Although sweet potatoes were not being differentiated in terms of prices as would be expected in contestable markets, the sellers who had the most preferred type of sweet potatoes would sell more than their counter parts. More sales volume depicts high demand for the given good ceteris paribus.

6. Conclusions

Based on the results, the study concludes that demand for sweet potatoes in Kenya significantly varies across the markets due to sundry factors that vary in their magnitude of effects on the demand at the different markets. The inverse relationship between demand and consumer incomes at the retail market implies that sweet potato is an inferior good.

7. Recommendations

The study therefore, recommends that in order to control unpredictable fluctuations in demand due to price changes, equilibrium market price be established at the wholesale and retail markets. This can be necessitated by allowing the market forces of supply and demand to operate freely. The significant positive coefficient of the incomes of
dominating buyers, tastes and preferences as well as sweet potato differentiation at the wholesale level, suggest that there is need to financially empower the sweet potato retailers who are the major buyers of wholesale products and to promote sweet potato differentiation at the wholesale market. The wholesalers should also handle varieties of sweet potatoes, particularly those that are highly preferred by the retailers. At the same time, the retailers should engage in selling a variety of sweet potatoes and improve on their sales volume through value addition. This is possible since sweet potato differentiation as well as the changes in consumer tastes and preferences also have significant influence on the demand for the retail sweet potatoes. Because consumer attitude significantly affects demand for sweet potato at the retail level, there is need for attitude change. This can be done through awareness creation particularly on the nutritional values of sweet potato so that it is not seen as “a poor man’s good”. Since sweet potato is an inferior commodity, retailers should segment their markets and increase in their stocks be directed to the markets perceived to be dominated by the low income group.

7.1. Recommendations for Further Research

The current study depended on cross sectional data and therefore, a similar research should be conducted using time series information to determine long term effects of the exogenous variables on sweet potato demand at the farm, retail and wholesale markets.

7.2. Limitations of the Study

Whereas this research makes significant contributions to the body of knowledge on sweet potatoes’ demand relations, it is important to evaluate the results in the context of its limitations. The first limitation is that the study focused only on sweet potatoes’ market in Rachuonyo south district and its key market outlets. This focus on a single region may make the results not generalizable to other sweet potato producing areas. However, confining the study to traders in a single regional setting conferred the obvious advantage of control for regional effects.

Secondly, the study used likert scale to capture perceptions of respondents on certain variables such as buyers’ attitude and tastes. Although this practice is prevalent in contingency based research, its objectivity has raised concern [6]. None the less, subjective measures have been advanced as consistent and based on those aspects that are most appropriate to respondents and that are most prone to shape their behaviour and guide their actions [39]
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